arXiv:1601.07319v1 [math.CA] 27 Jan 2016

A NEW FAMILY OF SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS WHOSE L^2-BOUNDEDNESS IMPLIES RECTIFIABILITY

PETR CHUNAEV

Abstract. Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Borel set such that $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$. David and Léger proved that the Cauchy kernel $1/z$ (and even its coordinate parts $\operatorname{Re} z/|z|^2$ and $\operatorname{Im} z/|z|^2$, $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$) has the following property $(*)$: the $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$-boundedness of the corresponding singular integral operator implies the rectifiability of E. Recently Chousionis, Mateu, Prat and Tolsa extended this result to any kernel of the form $(\operatorname{Re} z)^{2n-1}/|z|^{2n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this paper, we prove that the property $(*)$ is valid for operators associated to the much wider class of kernels $(\operatorname{Re} z)^{2N-1}/|z|^{2N} + t \cdot (\operatorname{Re} z)^{2n-1}/|z|^{2n}$, where n, N are positive integer numbers such that $N \geqslant n$, and $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (t_1, t_2)$ with t_1, t_2 depending only on n and N.

1. Introduction

Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Borel set and $B(z, r)$ an open disc with center $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and radius $r > 0$. By $\mathcal{H}^1(E)$ we denote the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure of E. A set E is called *rectifiable* if it is contained, up to an $\mathcal{H}^1$-negligible set, in a countable union of Lipschitz graphs. A set E with $\mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$ is called *purely unrectifiable* if it intersects any Lipschitz graph in a set of $\mathcal{H}^1$-measure zero.

A singular integral operator T_K associated to a kernel K is formally defined as

$$T_K f(z) := \int_E f(\zeta) K(z - \zeta)\, d\mathcal{H}^1(\zeta), \tag{1.1}$$

where $K : \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a standard kernel (see its definition, for instance, in [3]) and f is some reasonable function, say, $f \in L^1(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$. The integral in (1.1) might not converge absolutely and therefore one usually considers a truncated version of T_K, $T_{K,\varepsilon}$, which is defined by the above-mentioned integral but over the set $E \setminus B(z, \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. The operator T_K is said to be *bounded on* $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$ if the operators $T_{K,\varepsilon}$ are bounded on $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$ uniformly on ε. We also recall that *the principal value* (p.v.) of the operator T_K is said to exist $\mathcal{H}^1$-a.e. on E if $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} T_{K,\varepsilon} f(z)$ exists and is finite for almost every $z \in E$ and f from a reasonable functional space.

The connection between the L^2-boundedness, existence of p.v. of T_K (defined for more general measures than $\mathcal{H}^1$) on a set E and the geometric properties of this set, e.g. rectifiability, is an object of intensive investigations. They were initiated by Calderón [1], who proved that the Cauchy transform, i.e. T_K with $K(z) = 1/z$, is L^2-bounded on Lipschitz graphs with small slope. Later on, Coifman, McIntosh and Meyer [4] removed the small Lipschitz constant assumption. In [5] David fully characterized rectifiable *curves* Γ, for which the Cauchy transform is bounded on $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor\Gamma)$: they have to satisfy the linear growth condition

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma \cap B(z, r)) \leqslant Cr, \qquad r > 0, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B20 (primary); 28A75 (secondary).

The research was supported by the ERC grant 320501 of the European Research Council (FP7/2007-2013).

These results contributed to further keen research in the field and development of new tools for understanding of the above-mentioned connection. For more information about this topic see corresponding parts of [7, 11–13, 19].

A second wind in the area happened after the discovery of the so-called curvature method, which became very influential in the study of the Cauchy transform and analytic capacity [6, 14, 15, 18]. We now describe the core of the method. Given pairwise distinct points $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$, their *Menger curvature* is

$$c(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \frac{1}{R(z_1, z_2, z_3)},$$

where $R(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ is the radius of the circle passing through z_1, z_2 and z_3 (with $R(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \infty$ and $c(z_1, z_2, z_3) = 0$ if the points are collinear). It is easily seen that the curvature can be calculated in geometrical terms in different ways, e.g.

$$c(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \frac{4S(z_1, z_2, z_3)}{|z_1 - z_2||z_1 - z_3||z_2 - z_3|} = \frac{2\sin\widehat{z_1z_2z_3}}{|z_1 - z_3|}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $S(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ stands for the area of the triangle (z_1, z_2, z_3) and $\widehat{z_1z_2z_3}$ is the angle of this triangle opposite to the side z_1z_3.

The relationship between the curvature and the Cauchy kernel originates from the following identity due to Melnikov [15]:

$$c(z_1, z_2, z_3)^2 = \sum_{s\in\mathfrak{S}_3} \frac{1}{(z_{s_2} - z_{s_1})\overline{(z_{s_3} - z_{s_1})}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_3$ is the group of permutations of three elements. It is very important that the value in the right hand side turns out to be a non-negative real number. Let us also define the so-called *curvature of a Borel measure* μ:

$$c^2(\mu) = \iiint c(z_1, z_2, z_3)^2 \, d\mu(z_1)\, d\mu(z_2)\, d\mu(z_3), \tag{1.4}$$

introduced by Melnikov, too. One can consider a truncated version of $c^2(\mu)$, $c^2_\varepsilon(\mu)$, which is the above-mentioned triple integral over the set

$$\{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : |z_k - z_j| \geqslant \varepsilon > 0, \quad 1 \leqslant k, j \leqslant 3, \quad j \neq k\}.$$

Clearly, $c^2_\varepsilon(\mu)$ is always non-negative due to (1.3).

If μ is a finite Borel measure with linear growth, i.e. $\mu(B(z, r)) \leqslant Cr$ for all $z \in \operatorname{spt}\mu$, then the relation between the curvature and the $L^2(\mu)$-norm of the Cauchy transform (of $f(\zeta) \equiv 1$) is specified by the following Melnikov-Verdera identity [16]:

$$\int \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}\setminus B(z,\varepsilon)} \frac{d\mu(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \right|^2 d\mu(z) = \tfrac{1}{6}c^2_\varepsilon(\mu) + O(\mu(\mathbb{C})), \qquad |O(\mu(\mathbb{C}))| \leqslant C\mu(\mathbb{C}). \tag{1.5}$$

Relying on the curvature method described, Mattila, Melnikov and Verdera [14] proved that if $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an *Ahlfors-David regular set*, i.e. $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1}r \leqslant \mathcal{H}^1(E \cap B(z, r)) \leqslant Cr, \qquad z \in E, \qquad 0 < r < \mathcal{H}^1(E),$$

then the Cauchy transform is $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$-bounded if and only if E is contained in an Ahlfors-David regular curve.

Later on, essentially using the curvature and its non-negativity (see a brief exposition of the proof in Section 5), David and Léger made the following deep contribution (it is stated in [10] for $\mathbb{R}^n$ but we formulate it only for $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$).

Theorem A [10]. *Given a Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$, if $c^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E) < \infty$, then E is rectifiable. Moreover, if the Cauchy transform is $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$-bounded, then E is rectifiable.*

Note that the second statement is a direct corollary of the first one and (1.5).

Until recently, very few things were known in this direction beyond the Cauchy kernel. For instance, the same result is true for its coordinate parts [2]:

$$\operatorname{Re} z/|z|^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \operatorname{Im} z/|z|^2, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{1.6}$$

Indeed, consider the following permutations:

$$\begin{aligned} p_K(z_1, z_2, z_3) :=& K(z_1 - z_2)K(z_1 - z_3) + K(z_2 - z_1)K(z_2 - z_3) \\ &+ K(z_3 - z_1)K(z_3 - z_2), \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where K is some standard real kernel. Then it is not difficult to show that if K is one of the kernels (1.6), then

$$p_K(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \tfrac{1}{4} c(z_1, z_2, z_3)^2. \tag{1.8}$$

This fact was a motivation point of the recent paper [2] by Chousionis, Mateu, Prat and Tolsa. The David-Léger result is extended there to the kernels

$$\kappa_n(z) := \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z)^{2n-1}}{|z|^{2n}}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.9}$$

Namely, it is shown in [2] that any given triple $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$,

$$p_{\kappa_n}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \geqslant 0, \tag{1.10}$$

and $p_{\kappa_n}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = 0$ if and only if the points z_1, z_2, z_3 are collinear. Moreover, it is proved that the permutations $p_{\kappa_n}(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ behave similarly to the curvature squared, $c^2(z_1, z_2, z_3)$, on triangles with comparable sides, whose one side makes a big angle with the vertical line. This fact enables the authors of [2] to adapt the method from [10] to the kernels κ_n. This adaptation however requires them to make several essential modifications in crucial points, where the curvature must be exchanged by the permutations p_{κ_n}, and provide new arguments whenever the scheme of Léger does not work for their kernels (see also Section 5 for more details).

To state the corresponding result, we need to introduce one more notation, which is a generalization of the curvature of a measure (1.4). For any Borel measure μ, set

$$p_K(\mu) = \iiint p_K(z_1, z_2, z_3)\, d\mu(z_1)\, d\mu(z_2)\, d\mu(z_3). \tag{1.11}$$

Theorem B [2]. *Let $n \geqslant 1$. Given a Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$, if $p_{\kappa_n}(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E) < \infty$, then E is rectifiable. Moreover, if the operator T_{κ_n} is $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$-bounded, then E is rectifiable.*

Obviously, for $n = 1$ we get the statement for the real part of the Cauchy kernel, see (1.6). However, for $n \geqslant 2$ this is the first example of singular integral operators with the above-mentioned property but not directly related to the Cauchy transform.

In this paper, we consider a linear combination of the kernels (1.9), namely, the parametric kernels

$$K_t(z) := \kappa_N(z) + t \cdot \kappa_n(z), \qquad N > n, \qquad n, N \in \mathbb{N}^+, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.12}$$

where the parameters n, N and t are fixed. We find values of t, depending on n and N, such that a result, analogous to the David-Léger theorem, is valid for singular

integral operators associated to the kernels K_t. For this purpose we first study the sign of the permutations (1.7) for the kernels (1.12) and then, for the case when these permutations are non-negative, adapt the scheme from [2] to prove the result of David-Léger type. The next section contains the corresponding statements.

2. Main results

First of all let us mention that the case $t = 0$ in the theorems below agrees with the inequality (1.10) and Theorem B, proved in [2]. We now indicate the values of t such that the permutations $p_{K_t}(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ are non-negative for all triples (z_1, z_2, z_3).

Theorem 1. *Let K_t be a kernel of the form* (1.12) *with $t = 0$ or*

$$t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(3 + \sqrt{9 - 4\tfrac{N}{n}}\right); 2 - \tfrac{N}{n}\right), \qquad n < N \leqslant 2n, \tag{2.1}$$

$$t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(3 + \rho_{n,N} + \sqrt{(3 + \rho_{n,N})^2 - 4\tfrac{N}{n}}\right); \rho_{n,N}\right), \qquad N \geqslant 2n, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\rho_{n,N} := (\frac{N}{n} - 2)\sqrt{N - 2n}$. In particular, $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2; 0)$ for $N = 2n$. Then

$$p_{K_t}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \geqslant 0 \quad \textit{for all} \quad (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3.$$

Remark 1. The conditions (2.1) and (2.2), guaranteeing the non-negativity of $p_{K_t}(z_1, z_2, z_3)$, cannot be weakened much in the following sense. As we will show in Section 4, there are triples (z_1, z_2, z_3) such that $p_{K_t}(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ can change sign if

$$\begin{aligned} &t \in (-\tfrac{N}{n}; 0) && \text{for all } n \text{ and } N, \\ &t \in \left(0; \tfrac{2}{e^{3/2}}\tfrac{N}{n}\right) && \text{for } N \gg n \quad (\text{i.e. } N \text{ is large enough with respect to } n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Surprisingly, in this context the case $t = 0$ is an isolated point with non-negative permutations. Thus the curvature method, requiring the non-negativity of the permutations, cannot be applied directly for t indicated in (2.3).

From the aforesaid it follows that the statement of Theorem 1 is sharp for $N = 2n$.

Figure 1 illustrates Theorem 1 and the facts, mentioned in this remark, for $n = 3$ and different $N > 3$ (consider the horizontal line passing through a fixed *positive integer* N in order to determine the corresponding t). The green area represents the values of t, indicated in (2.1) and (2.2), i.e. those where p_{K_t} are guaranteed to be non-negative (the boundaries are included). In the blue area (the boundaries are not included), obtained by computer-based exhaustive search, the permutations can change sign. Note that the part of the blue area for $t < 0$ is exactly the former in (2.3). Moreover, the part for $t > 0$ quite agrees with the latter in (2.3). The white area is not covered by our results, so, generally speaking, we can say nothing about the sign of p_{K_t} therein. However, computer experiments suggest that the permutations p_{K_t} are non-negative everywhere except the blue area, thus (2.3) seems to give likely boundaries for t, whose corresponding permutations can change sign.

Relying deeply on Theorem 1, we will prove the following David-Léger type result.

Theorem 2. *Let K_t be a kernel of the form* (1.12) *with t, mentioned in Theorem 1. Given a Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$, if $p_{K_t}(\mathcal{H}^1 \lfloor E) < \infty$, then E is rectifiable. Moreover, if the operator T_{K_t} is $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1 \lfloor E)$-bounded, then E is rectifiable.*



FIGURE 1.

Remark 2. It is known that for $t = -1$ (see the red line in Figure 1), which belongs to the area, where the permutations can change sign, the statement of Theorem 2 is not valid anymore, i.e. L^2-boundedness does not imply rectifiability. Indeed, it is a corollary of the following result due to Huovinen (note that all kernels K_t of the form (1.12) with $t = -1$ belong to Huovinen's class $\mathscr{H}$).

Theorem C [9]. *Let K belong to the class $\mathscr{H}$ of odd kernels satisfying*

$$|K(x-y) - K(x-z)| \leqslant \frac{C\,|y-z|}{|x-y||x-z|}, \qquad |K(z)| \leqslant \frac{C}{|z|}, \qquad x, y, z \in \mathbb{C},$$
$$K(r) = K(-r) = 0, \qquad K(z) = -K(-\overline{z}), \qquad r \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad C = \text{const}.$$

Then there exists a purely unrectifiable set E with $\mathcal{H}^1(E) > 0$, such that the operator T_K, associated to the kernel K, is bounded on $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$ and, moreover, p.v. T_K *exists $\mathcal{H}^1$-a.e. and is finite.*



FIGURE 2.

For a particular family of the kernels (1.12) with $(n, N) = (1, 2)$, i.e.

$$k_t(z) := \frac{(\operatorname{Re} z)^3}{|z|^4} + t \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Re} z}{|z|^2},$$

even more is known. Namely, for $t = -3/4$, whose corresponding p_{K_t} can change sign, there also exists a purely unrectifiable set E such that T_{k_t} is $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$-bounded. One can get this from the following result of Jaye and Nazarov for the kernel $k(z) := \overline{z}/z^2$, noting that $\operatorname{Re} k(z) = 4k_t(z)$ for $t = -3/4$. We formulate it in a slightly different form than in [8].

Theorem D [8]. *There exists a purely unrectifiable set E with $\mathcal{H}^1(E) > 0$, such that the operator T_k, associated to the kernel k, is bounded on $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$ and, moreover,* p.v. *T_k fails to exist $\mathcal{H}^1$-a.e.*

Figure 2 illustrates known results for the kernels k_t. By Theorems 1 and 2, if $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-2; 0)$, then the permutations p_{k_t} are non-negative and the $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$-boundedness of T_{k_t} implies the rectifiability of E (see the intervals coloured green). By the arguments from Remarks 1 and 2, the permutations p_{k_t} for $t \in (-2; 0)$ can change its sign (the interval coloured blue) and there are two values of t (the red points) such that the operator T_{K_t} is $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$-bounded but E is not rectifiable.

3. AUXILIARY RESULTS

This section is devoted to auxiliary lemmas, which will be used to prove Theorems 1 and 2 in Section 5.

If a kernel K is real and odd, then one can show, using, for example, the substitutions $u = z_1 - z_2$ and $v = z_1 - z_3$, that the permutations (1.7) are invariant under translations, i.e. one point can be always fixed. Thus it is enough to consider only permutations of the form

$$p_K(0, u, v) = K(u)K(v) + K(u)K(u - v) + K(v)K(v - u), \tag{3.1}$$

where $u, v \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ are distinct points. The kernels (1.9) and (1.12), being under our consideration, are real and odd, so we can use (3.1) instead of (1.7). Moreover, we can skip the case of collinear points u, v for our kernels as then $p_K(0, u, v) \equiv 0$.

The following lemma will be used many times below (note that it can be easily generalized for any other two kernels instead of κ_n and κ_N).

Lemma 1. *Given K_t of the form* (1.12),

$$p_{K_t}(0, u, v) = p_{\kappa_N}(0, u, v) + \varphi_{n,N}(0, u, v)\, t + p_{\kappa_n}(0, u, v)\, t^2, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{n,N}(0, u, v) = {} & \kappa_N(u)(\kappa_n(v) + \kappa_n(u - v)) \\ & + \kappa_N(v)(\kappa_n(u) + \kappa_n(v - u)) + \kappa_N(u - v)(\kappa_n(u) - \kappa_n(v)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. By substituting (1.12) into (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_{K_t}(0,u,v)\\
&=\kappa_N(u)\kappa_N(v)+\left(\kappa_N(u)\kappa_n(v)+\kappa_n(u)\kappa_N(v)\right)t+\kappa_n(u)\kappa_n(v)\,t^2\\
&\quad+\kappa_N(u)\kappa_N(u-v)+\left(\kappa_N(u)\kappa_n(u-v)+\kappa_n(u)\kappa_N(u-v)\right)t+\kappa_n(u)\kappa_n(u-v)\,t^2\\
&\quad+\kappa_N(v)\kappa_N(v-u)+\left(\kappa_N(v)\kappa_n(v-u)+\kappa_n(v)\kappa_N(v-u)\right)t+\kappa_n(v)\kappa_n(v-u)\,t^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Grouping the terms and taking into account (3.1) for our kernels give the result. ∎

We emphasize that the leading coefficient of the quadratic polynomial (3.2) (with respect to t) is always non-negative by the property (1.10).

From now on in order to simplify formulas, we skip $(0,u,v)$ in permutations and other expressions where possible (for example, we write p_K instead of $p_K(0,u,v)$) and use the following notations:

$$\lambda_1:=\frac{\operatorname{Re}u}{|u|},\qquad \lambda_2:=\frac{\operatorname{Re}v}{|v|},\qquad \lambda_3:=\frac{\operatorname{Re}(u-v)}{|u-v|},\qquad \Lambda:=\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3, \tag{3.4}$$

where the denominators do not vanish as the points u,v are assumed to be distinct and non-collinear. Note that in these terms

$$p_{\kappa_n}=\frac{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{2n-1}}{|u||v|}+\frac{(\lambda_1\lambda_3)^{2n-1}}{|u||u-v|}-\frac{(\lambda_2\lambda_3)^{2n-1}}{|v||v-u|}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{n,N}=&\frac{\lambda_1^{2N-1}}{|u|}\left(\frac{\lambda_2^{2n-1}}{|v|}+\frac{\lambda_3^{2n-1}}{|u-v|}\right)\\
&+\frac{\lambda_2^{2N-1}}{|v|}\left(\frac{\lambda_1^{2n-1}}{|u|}-\frac{\lambda_3^{2n-1}}{|v-u|}\right)+\frac{\lambda_3^{2N-1}}{|u-v|}\left(\frac{\lambda_1^{2n-1}}{|u|}-\frac{\lambda_2^{2n-1}}{|v|}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, we have another representation of $\varphi_{n,N}$ from (3.3) in these terms.

Lemma 2. *Given K_t of the form* (1.12)*, in Lemma 1*

$$\varphi_{n,N}=\tau_1 p_{\kappa_n}-\tau_2, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\tau_1:=\lambda_1^{2(N-n)}+\lambda_2^{2(N-n)}+\lambda_3^{2(N-n)},\qquad 0\leqslant\tau_1\leqslant 3, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\tau_2:=\Lambda^{2(N-n)}\left(\frac{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{2(2n-N)-1}}{|u||v|}+\frac{(\lambda_1\lambda_3)^{2(2n-N)-1}}{|u||u-v|}-\frac{(\lambda_2\lambda_3)^{2(2n-N)-1}}{|v||v-u|}\right). \tag{3.9}$$

In particular, $\tau_2\equiv 0$ if $N=2n$.

Proof. Direct multiplication of τ_1 by p_{κ_n} gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\lambda_1^{2(N-n)}+\lambda_2^{2(N-n)}+\lambda_3^{2(N-n)}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{2n-1}}{|u||v|}+\frac{(\lambda_1\lambda_3)^{2n-1}}{|u||u-v|}-\frac{(\lambda_2\lambda_3)^{2n-1}}{|v||v-u|}\right)\\
&\quad=\left(\frac{\lambda_3^{2(N-n)}(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{2n-1}}{|u||v|}+\frac{\lambda_2^{2(N-n)}(\lambda_1\lambda_3)^{2n-1}}{|u||u-v|}-\frac{\lambda_1^{2(N-n)}(\lambda_2\lambda_3)^{2n-1}}{|v||v-u|}\right)\\
&+\frac{\lambda_1^{2N-1}}{|u|}\left(\frac{\lambda_2^{2n-1}}{|v|}+\frac{\lambda_3^{2n-1}}{|u-v|}\right)+\frac{\lambda_2^{2N-1}}{|v|}\left(\frac{\lambda_1^{2n-1}}{|u|}-\frac{\lambda_3^{2n-1}}{|v-u|}\right)+\frac{\lambda_3^{2N-1}}{|u-v|}\left(\frac{\lambda_1^{2n-1}}{|u|}-\frac{\lambda_2^{2n-1}}{|v|}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which is exactly $\tau_2+\varphi_{n,N}$ by (3.6) and (3.9). ∎

Lemma 3. *Given kernels of the form* (1.9),

$$\frac{N}{n}\cdot\Lambda^{2(N-n)}\cdot p_{\kappa_n}\leqslant p_{\kappa_N},\qquad 1\leqslant n\leqslant N. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. Note that for $n=1$ this inequality was previously obtained in [2, Proof of Lemma 2.3]. To prove the general form, we use the following lemma from there.

Lemma 4 (Proof of Proposition 2.1 in [2])**.** *One has the representation*

$$p_{\kappa_m}=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\binom{m}{k}\Lambda^{2(m-k)}h_k(u,v),$$

where $h_k(u,v)\geqslant 0$ and are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}h_k(u,v)=&(|u||v||u-v|)^{-2k}\left((\operatorname{Re}u\operatorname{Re}v)^{2k-1}(\operatorname{Im}(u-v))^{2k}\right.\\&\left.+(\operatorname{Re}u\operatorname{Re}(u-v))^{2k-1}(\operatorname{Im}v)^{2k}+(\operatorname{Re}v\operatorname{Re}(v-u))^{2k-1}(\operatorname{Im}u)^{2k}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Within the settings of Lemma 4,

$$\Lambda^{2(N-n)}\frac{p_{\kappa_n}}{p_{\kappa_N}}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{N}{k}^{-1}H_k(u,v)}{\sum_{k=1}^{N}H_k(u,v)},$$

where $H_k(u,v):=\binom{N}{k}\Lambda^{2(N-k)}h_k(u,v)\geqslant 0$. Furthermore,

$$\binom{n}{k}\binom{N}{k}^{-1}=\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}\frac{(N-k)!}{N!}=\frac{(n-k+1)\cdots n}{(N-k+1)\cdots N}\leqslant\frac{n}{N},\qquad 1\leqslant k\leqslant n,$$

and finally

$$\Lambda^{2(N-n)}\frac{p_{\kappa_n}}{p_{\kappa_N}}\leqslant\frac{n}{N}\cdot\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}H_k(u,v)}{\sum_{k=1}^{N}H_k(u,v)}\leqslant\frac{n}{N},\qquad n\leqslant N,$$

which is the desired result. ∎

Lemmas 1, 2 and 3 enable us to obtain lower estimates of the permutations p_{K_t} via the permutations p_{κ_n} for some t. Namely, we will estimate so the coefficients of the quadratic polynomial (3.2), taking into account the representation (3.7) and the inequality (3.10). We start with the case $n<N\leqslant 2n$.

Lemma 5. *Given K_t of the form* (1.12) *with $n<N\leqslant 2n$, if*

$$t\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\left[-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(3+\sqrt{9-4\tfrac{N}{n}}\right);2-\tfrac{N}{n}\right],$$

then $p_{K_t}\geqslant C(t)\cdot p_{\kappa_n}$ with some $C(t)>0$.

Proof. To get the required estimate, we first look at the expression for τ_2 in (3.9) for our case. Since $n<N\leqslant 2n$, from (3.5) and (3.9) we immediately get

$$\tau_2=\Lambda^{2(N-n)}\cdot p_{\kappa_{2n-N}},\qquad 0\leqslant 2n-N\leqslant n-1,$$

with $\tau_2\equiv 0$ if $N=2n$. Consequently, by (3.2) and (3.7),

$$p_{K_t}=p_{\kappa_N}+(\tau_1 p_{\kappa_n}-\Lambda^{2(N-n)}p_{\kappa_{2n-N}})\,t+p_{\kappa_n}t^2. \tag{3.11}$$

Now we show that the right hand side of (3.11) for the above-mentioned t is bounded from below by p_{κ_n}, multiplied by a positive constant, depending only on t.

Applying the inequality (3.10) to p_{κ_N} and $p_{\kappa_{2n-N}}$ in (3.11) for $t\geqslant 0$ gives

$$p_{K_t}\geqslant\left(\tfrac{N}{n}\,\Lambda^{2(N-n)}+(\tau_1-2+\tfrac{N}{n})\,t+t^2\right)\cdot p_{\kappa_n}=f(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)\cdot p_{\kappa_n}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $\xi_j := \lambda_j^{2(N-n)} \in [0,1]$, $j = 1,2,3$, and

$$f(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) := \tfrac{N}{n}\,\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + (\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3-2+\tfrac{N}{n})\,t + t^2. \tag{3.13}$$

Analysis of $\partial f/\partial\xi_j$ shows that f is non-decreasing for $t \geqslant 0$ with respect to each $\xi_j \in [0,1]$. Consequently,

$$f(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) \geqslant f(0,0,0) = t(t-2+\tfrac{N}{n}),$$

which is strictly positive for $t > 2-\frac{N}{n} \geqslant 0$.

Applying (3.10) to p_{κ_N} and using that $p_{\kappa_{2n-N}} \geqslant 0$ (see (1.10)) for $t \leqslant 0$ gives

$$p_{K_t} \geqslant \big(\tfrac{N}{n}\,\Lambda^{2(N-n)} + \tau_1\,t + t^2\big)\cdot p_{\kappa_n} = F(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)\cdot p_{\kappa_n}, \tag{3.14}$$

where the function

$$F(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) := \tfrac{N}{n}\,\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + (\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3)\,t + t^2 \tag{3.15}$$

is non-increasing for $t \leqslant -\frac{N}{n}$ with respect to each $\xi_j \in [0,1]$. Thus,

$$F(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) \geqslant F(1,1,1) = \tfrac{N}{n} + 3\,t + t^2,$$

where the latter expression is positive for $t < -\frac{1}{2}\left(3+\sqrt{9-4\frac{N}{n}}\right) \leqslant -\frac{N}{n}$. ∎

Now let $N > 2n$. Note that the following lemma coincides with the previous one if we put $N = 2n$.

Lemma 6. *Given K_t of the form (1.12) with $N > 2n$, if*

$$t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left[-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(3+\rho_{n,N}+\sqrt{(3+\rho_{n,N})^2-4\tfrac{N}{n}}\right);\rho_{n,N}\right], \quad \rho_{n,N} = \big(\tfrac{N}{n}-2\big)\sqrt{N-2n},$$

then $p_{K_t} \geqslant C(t)\cdot p_{\kappa_n}$ with some $C(t) > 0$.

Proof. We will again estimate the coefficients of the polynomial (3.2) in terms of p_{κ_n}. At first, we will estimate $|\phi_{n,N}|$. By (3.7), this will only need estimation of $|\tau_2|$.

As we have already mentioned before Lemma 1, the permutations p_{K_t} and p_{κ_n} are invariant under translations. Consequently, without loss of generality we can assume that all triangles $(0,u,v)$ under consideration belong to the half plane $\operatorname{Re} z \geqslant 0$ (it will be necessary in the further analysis of angles of these triangles).

From now on, we use the following notations additionally to (3.4):

$$\sin\alpha_j := \lambda_j, \qquad \lambda_j \in [-1;1], \qquad j = 1,2,3, \tag{3.16}$$

and suppose that λ_j^2 are pairwise distinct. One can get the other case by passage to a limit below. For geometrical interpretation of the angles α_j see Figures 3 and 4.

Now we aim to represent τ_2 from (3.9) in terms of the curvature written in the form (1.2). For this purpose we will segregate the area squared $S(0,u,v)^2$ in the numerator and $|u|^2|v|^2|u-v|^2$ in the denominator of τ_2. First, from (3.9), taking

into account (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_2 &= \frac{\Lambda^{2n-1}}{|u||v||u-v|}\,\frac{(\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{2(2n-N)-1}|u-v| + (\lambda_1\lambda_3)^{2(2n-N)-1}|v| - (\lambda_2\lambda_3)^{2(2n-N)-1}|u|}{\Lambda^{2(2n-N)-1}}\\ &= \frac{\Lambda^{2n-1}}{|u||v||u-v|}\left(\lambda_3^{2(N-2n)}\mathrm{Re}\,(u-v) + \lambda_2^{2(N-2n)}\mathrm{Re}\,v - \lambda_1^{2(N-2n)}\mathrm{Re}\,u\right)\\ &= \frac{\Lambda^{2n-1}}{|u||v||u-v|}\left(\mathrm{Re}\,u\left(\lambda_3^{2(N-2n)} - \lambda_1^{2(N-2n)}\right) - \mathrm{Re}\,v\left(\lambda_3^{2(N-2n)} - \lambda_2^{2(N-2n)}\right)\right)\\ &= \frac{\Lambda^{2n-1}}{|u||v||u-v|}\left(\lambda_1|u|\left(\lambda_3^2-\lambda_1^2\right)A_1(u,v) - \lambda_2|v|\left(\lambda_3^2-\lambda_2^2\right)A_2(u,v)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_1(u,v) := \frac{\lambda_3^{2(N-2n)} - \lambda_1^{2(N-2n)}}{\lambda_3^2-\lambda_1^2}, \qquad A_2(u,v) := \frac{\lambda_3^{2(N-2n)} - \lambda_2^{2(N-2n)}}{\lambda_3^2-\lambda_2^2}. \tag{3.17}$$

Finally, we can rewrite τ_2 as

$$\tau_2 = \frac{\Lambda^{2n-1}}{|u|^2|v|^2|u-v|^2}\cdot A(u,v), \tag{3.18}$$

where $A(u,v) := |u||v||u-v|\left(\lambda_1\left(\lambda_3^2-\lambda_1^2\right)|u|A_1(u,v) - \lambda_2\left(\lambda_3^2-\lambda_2^2\right)|v|A_2(u,v)\right)$.

By (3.16) and the formulas for the sum of sines and the sine of a double angle,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_3^2-\lambda_1^2 &= (\sin\alpha_3+\sin\alpha_1)(\sin\alpha_3-\sin\alpha_1)\\ &= 2\sin\frac{\alpha_3+\alpha_1}{2}\cos\frac{\alpha_3-\alpha_1}{2}\cdot 2\sin\frac{\alpha_3-\alpha_1}{2}\cos\frac{\alpha_3+\alpha_1}{2}\\ &= \sin(\alpha_3+\alpha_1)\sin(\alpha_3-\alpha_1).\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, $\lambda_3^2-\lambda_2^2 = \sin(\alpha_3+\alpha_2)\sin(\alpha_3-\alpha_2)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}A(u,v) = \;& |u|^2|v||u-v|\sin(\alpha_3+\alpha_1)\sin(\alpha_3-\alpha_1)\lambda_1A_1(u,v)\\ & -|u||v|^2|u-v|\sin(\alpha_3+\alpha_2)\sin(\alpha_3-\alpha_2)\lambda_2A_2(u,v).\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Now let us see how one can calculate angles $\angle(u,0,v)$, $\angle(0,u,v)$ and $\angle(0,v,u)$ of the triangle $(0,u,v)$, using the angles α_j, $j=1,2,3$. Recall that the triangles $(0,u,v)$ belong to the half plane $\mathrm{Re}\,z \geqslant 0$, thus, only two cases are possible:

1. The vertexes u and v both lie in the same (first or forth) quarter of the plane.
2. The vertexes u and v lie in different quarters of the plane.

One can check that four options are realizable in the case 1 (see the examples in Figure 3; several other situations are possible but they produce the same cases):

1a. $\angle(u,0,v) = \;\;\alpha_1-\alpha_2\;$, $\angle(0,u,v) = -(\alpha_1-\alpha_3)$, $\angle(0,v,u) = \pi+(\alpha_2-\alpha_3)$;
1b. $\angle(u,0,v) = -(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)$, $\angle(0,u,v) = \;\;\alpha_1-\alpha_3\;$, $\angle(0,v,u) = \pi-(\alpha_2-\alpha_3)$;
1c. $\angle(u,0,v) = \;\;\alpha_1-\alpha_2\;$, $\angle(0,u,v) = \pi-(\alpha_1+\alpha_3)$, $\angle(0,v,u) = \;\;\alpha_2+\alpha_3\;$;
1d. $\angle(u,0,v) = -(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)$, $\angle(0,u,v) = \pi+(\alpha_1+\alpha_3)$, $\angle(0,v,u) = -(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)$.

In the case 2 (see Figure 4) one always has

$$\angle(u,0,v) = \pi-(\alpha_1+\alpha_2), \quad \angle(0,u,v) = \alpha_1-\alpha_3, \quad \angle(0,v,u) = \alpha_2+\alpha_3.$$

Consequently, taking into account the formulas

$$S(0,u,v) = \tfrac{1}{2}|u||v|\sin\angle(u,0,v) = \tfrac{1}{2}|u||u-v|\sin\angle(0,u,v) = \tfrac{1}{2}|v||u-v|\sin\angle(0,v,u),$$

from (3.19) we conclude that



FIGURE 3.



FIGURE 4.

- in the cases 1.a and 1.b:

$$\begin{aligned}A(u,v) &= |u||v|\sin(\pm(\alpha_2-\alpha_1))|u||u-v|\sin(\pm(\alpha_1-\alpha_3))\frac{\sin(\alpha_3+\alpha_1)}{\sin(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}\lambda_1 A_1(u,v)\\ &\quad - |u||v|\sin(\pm(\alpha_2-\alpha_1))|v||u-v|\sin(\pi\pm(\alpha_3-\alpha_2))\frac{\sin(\alpha_3+\alpha_2)}{\sin(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}\lambda_2 A_2(u,v)\\ &= 4S(0,u,v)^2\,\frac{\sin(\alpha_3+\alpha_1)\lambda_1 A_1(u,v)-\sin(\alpha_3+\alpha_2)\lambda_2 A_2(u,v)}{\sin(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)};\end{aligned}$$

- in the cases 1.c and 1.d:

$$\begin{aligned}A(u,v) &= |u||v|\sin(\pm(\alpha_1-\alpha_2))|u||u-v|\sin(\pi\mp(\alpha_1+\alpha_3))\frac{\sin(\alpha_3-\alpha_1)}{\sin(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}\lambda_1 A_1(u,v)\\ &\quad - |u||v|\sin(\pm(\alpha_1-\alpha_2))|v||u-v|\sin(\pm(\alpha_2+\alpha_3))\frac{\sin(\alpha_3-\alpha_2)}{\sin(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}\lambda_2 A_2(u,v)\\ &= 4S(0,u,v)^2\,\frac{\sin(\alpha_3-\alpha_1)\lambda_1 A_1(u,v)-\sin(\alpha_3-\alpha_2)\lambda_2 A_2(u,v)}{\sin(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)};\end{aligned}$$

- in the case 2:

$$\begin{aligned}A(u,v) &= -|u||v|\sin(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)|u||u-v|\sin(\alpha_1-\alpha_3)\frac{\sin(\alpha_3+\alpha_1)}{\sin(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}\lambda_1 A_1(u,v)\\ &\quad - |u||v|\sin(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)|v||u-v|\sin(\alpha_2+\alpha_3)\frac{\sin(\alpha_3-\alpha_2)}{\sin(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}\lambda_2 A_2(u,v)\\ &= -4S(0,u,v)^2\,\frac{\sin(\alpha_3+\alpha_1)\lambda_1 A_1(u,v)+\sin(\alpha_3-\alpha_2)\lambda_2 A_2(u,v)}{\sin(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the substitutions $\alpha_1 \mapsto -\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2 \mapsto -\alpha_2$ ($\lambda_1 \mapsto -\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2 \mapsto -\lambda_2$) in the expression for $A(u,v)$ for the case 1.a and 1.b give $A(u,v)$ in the cases 1.c and 1.d. Moreover, the substitution $\alpha_2 \mapsto -\alpha_2$ ($\lambda_2 \mapsto -\lambda_2$) in $A(u,v)$ for the case 1.a and 1.b gives $-A(u,v)$ in the case 2. In what follows, this allows us to consider only one expression for $A(u,v)$, say, the one corresponding to 1.a and 1.b, instead of the three. This reduction will not affect the final result. Therefore, let

$$A(u,v) = 4S(0,u,v)^2 \cdot \frac{V(u,v)}{\sin(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)},$$

where

$$V(u,v) := \sin(\alpha_3+\alpha_1)\lambda_1 A_1(u,v) - \sin(\alpha_3+\alpha_2)\lambda_2 A_2(u,v). \tag{3.20}$$

From this and (3.18) by the formula (1.2), connecting the curvature $c(0,u,v)$ and the area $S(0,u,v)$, we get

$$\tau_2 = \frac{4S(0,u,v)^2}{|u|^2|v|^2|u-v|^2}\cdot\Lambda^{2n-1}\cdot\frac{V(u,v)}{\sin(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)} = \tfrac{1}{4}c(0,u,v)^2\cdot\Lambda^{2n-1}\cdot\frac{V(u,v)}{\sin(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)}.$$

Note that $\frac{1}{4}c(0,u,v)^2 = p_{\kappa_1}(0,u,v)$ by (1.8). Consequently, the inequality (3.10) and the fact that $|\Lambda| \leqslant 1$ yield

$$|\tau_2| = n\,\Lambda^{2(n-1)}p_{\kappa_1}\cdot\frac{|\Lambda|}{n}\cdot\frac{|V(u,v)|}{|\sin(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)|} \leqslant \frac{p_{\kappa_n}}{n}\cdot\frac{|V(u,v)|}{|\sin(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)|}. \tag{3.21}$$

Now we want to show that $|V(u,v)| \leqslant \text{const} \cdot |\sin(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)|$. If we rewrite $A_1(u,v)$ and $A_2(u,v)$, defined in (3.17), using the formula

$$\frac{a^m - b^m}{a-b} = \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} a^{m-1-v} b^v, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}^+,$$

for $m := N - 2n \geqslant 1$, then (3.20) takes the form

$$V(u,v) = \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \lambda_3^{2(m-1-v)} \left(\sin(\alpha_3 + \alpha_1) \cdot \lambda_1^{2v+1} - \sin(\alpha_3 + \alpha_2) \cdot \lambda_2^{2v+1} \right).$$

Now we substitute $\lambda_j = \sin \alpha_j$, $j = 1, 2$, by (3.16) and apply the well-known formula

$$(\sin\theta)^{2v+1} = \frac{1}{2^{2v}} \sum_{k=0}^{v} (-1)^{v-k} \binom{2v+1}{k} \sin(2v+1-2k)\theta.$$

This leads to the following representation:

$$V(u,v) = \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \lambda_3^{2(m-1-v)} \frac{1}{2^{2v}} \sum_{k=0}^{v} (-1)^{v-k} \binom{2v+1}{k} B_{v,k}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &B_{v,k}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \\ &\quad := \sin(\alpha_3 + \alpha_1) \sin(2v+1-2k)\alpha_1 - \sin(\alpha_3 + \alpha_2) \sin(2v+1-2k)\alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the formulas for the product of sines and the difference of cosines we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &B_{v,k}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} \left(\cos(\alpha_3 - 2(v-k)\alpha_1) - \cos(\alpha_3 + 2(v-k+1)\alpha_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \cos(\alpha_3 - 2(v-k)\alpha_2) + \cos(\alpha_3 + 2(v-k+1)\alpha_2) \right) \\ &= \sin(\alpha_3 - (v-k)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)) \sin((v-k)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)) \\ &\quad + \sin(\alpha_3 + (v-k+1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)) \sin((v-k+1)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\sin rx| \leqslant r |\sin x|$, $r \geqslant 0$, it follows that

$$|B_{v,k}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)| \leqslant (2v - 2k + 1) |\sin(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)|.$$

This and the obvious estimate of $|V(u,v)|$ from (3.22) yield

$$\frac{|V(u,v)|}{|\sin(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)|} \leqslant \sigma(m) := \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^{2v}} \sum_{k=0}^{v} \binom{2v+1}{k} (2v - 2k + 1).$$

One can check by successive use of the formulas (4.2.1.6), (4.2.2.13) and (4.2.3.19) from [17, §4.2] that

$$\sigma(m) = \frac{4m^2 - 1}{3 \cdot 4^{m-1}} \binom{2m-2}{m-1}.$$

Moreover, it can by easily proved by induction that

$$\sigma(m) \leqslant m^{3/2}, \qquad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, taking into account that $m = N - 2n \geqslant 1$, from (3.21) we have

$$|\tau_2| \leqslant \rho_{n,N} \cdot p_{\kappa_n}, \qquad \rho_{n,N} = \frac{(N-2n)^{3/2}}{n} = \left(\tfrac{N}{n} - 2 \right) \sqrt{N - 2n}, \qquad N > 2n. \tag{3.23}$$

Now we come back to the representation (3.2) from Lemma 1 and estimation of its terms. Using (3.7) and the inequalities (3.10) and (3.23) we get for $t \geqslant 0$:

$$p_{K_t} \geqslant \left(\tfrac{N}{n}\,\Lambda^{2(N-n)} + (\tau_1 - \rho_{n,N})\,t + t^2\right)\cdot p_{\kappa_n} = g(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)\cdot p_{\kappa_n}, \tag{3.24}$$

where $\xi_j = \lambda_j^{2(N-n)} \in [0,1]$ as in the proof of the previous lemma, and

$$g(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) := \tfrac{N}{n}\,\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + (\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3-\rho_{n,N})\,t + t^2. \tag{3.25}$$

The function g is non-decreasing for $t \geqslant 0$ with respect to each $\xi_j \in [0,1]$, hence for $t > \rho_{n,N} > 0$ we get the inequality

$$g(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) \geqslant g(0,0,0) = t(t-\rho_{n,N}) > 0.$$

For $t \leqslant 0$ we have

$$p_{K_t} \geqslant \left(\tfrac{N}{n}\,\Lambda^{2(N-n)} + (\tau_1 + \rho_{n,N})\,t + t^2\right)\cdot p_{\kappa_n} = G(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)\cdot p_{\kappa_n}, \tag{3.26}$$

where the function

$$G(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) := \tfrac{N}{n}\,\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + (\xi_1+\xi_2+\xi_3+\rho_{n,N})\,t + t^2 \tag{3.27}$$

is non-increasing for $t \leqslant -\frac{N}{n}$ with respect to each $\xi_j \in [0,1]$ and therefore

$$G(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3) \geqslant G(1,1,1) = \tfrac{N}{n} + (3+\rho_{n,N})\,t + t^2.$$

The roots of this quadratic polynomial are

$$-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(3+\rho_{n,N} \pm \sqrt{(3+\rho_{n,N})^2 - 4\tfrac{N}{n}}\right),$$

so it has only positive values if $t < -\frac{1}{2}\left(3+\rho_{n,N}+\sqrt{(3+\rho_{n,N})^2-4\frac{N}{n}}\right) \leqslant -\frac{N}{n}$. ∎

Note that Lemmas 5 and 6 give Theorem 1 by continuity. For the proof of Theorem 2, additionally to Theorem 1, we will also need lower estimates of p_{K_t} for t, being the end points of the intervals, excluded in (2.1) and (2.2) from the real line. And to obtain these estimates, we first introduce several additional notations.

Given two distinct point $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, we will denote by $L_{z,w}$ the line passing through z and w. Given three pairwise distinct point $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $\angle(z_1,z_2,z_3)$ the smallest angle (belonging to $[0;\pi/2]$) formed by the lines L_{z_1,z_2} and L_{z_1,z_3}. If L and L' are lines, then $\angle(L,L')$ is the smallest angle (belonging to $[0;\pi/2]$) between them. Also, $\theta_V(L) := \angle(L,V)$ and $\theta_H(L) := \angle(L,H)$, where V and H are a vertical and horizontal line, correspondingly. Furthermore, for a fixed constant $\tau \geqslant 1$, set

$$\mathcal{O}_\tau = \left\{(z_1,z_2,z_3) : \frac{|z_i - z_j|}{|z_i - z_k|} \leqslant \tau \text{ for distinct } (i,j,k),\ i,j,k \in \{1,2,3\}\right\}, \tag{3.28}$$

so that all triangles with vertexes z_1, z_2 and z_3 in $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ have comparable sides.

Given $\alpha_0 \in (0,\pi/2)$ and (z_1,z_2,z_3), in what follows we will use the conditions:

$$\theta_V(L_{z_1,z_2}) + \theta_V(L_{z_2,z_3}) + \theta_V(L_{z_1,z_3}) \geqslant \alpha_0, \tag{3.29}$$

$$\theta_H(L_{z_1,z_2}) + \theta_H(L_{z_2,z_3}) + \theta_H(L_{z_1,z_3}) \geqslant \alpha_0. \tag{3.30}$$

Note that (3.29) and (3.30) are equivalent to

$$\theta_H(L_{z_1,z_2}) + \theta_H(L_{z_2,z_3}) + \theta_H(L_{z_1,z_3}) \leqslant \tfrac{3}{2}\pi - \alpha_0,$$

$$\theta_V(L_{z_1,z_2}) + \theta_V(L_{z_2,z_3}) + \theta_V(L_{z_1,z_3}) \leqslant \tfrac{3}{2}\pi - \alpha_0,$$

correspondingly.

To obtain the desired result, we first prove several geometrical lemmas.

Lemma 7. *Fix $\alpha_0 \in (0, \pi/2)$. Given $(0, u, v) \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$, if the condition (3.29) is satisfied, then*

$$\tau_1(0, u, v) = \lambda_1^{2(N-n)} + \lambda_2^{2(N-n)} + \lambda_3^{2(N-n)} \geqslant C_1(\alpha_0) > 0.$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$\lambda_1^2 = \sin^2 \theta_V(L_{0,u}), \quad \lambda_2^2 = \sin^2 \theta_V(L_{0,v}), \quad \lambda_3^2 = \sin^2 \theta_V(L_{u,v}).$$

Moreover, from (3.29) it follows that at least one of the angles $\theta_V(L_{0,u})$, $\theta_V(L_{0,v})$, $\theta_V(L_{u,v})$ is not less than $\alpha_0/3$. Thus, $\tau_1 \geqslant (\sin \frac{\alpha_0}{3})^{2(N-n)}$. ∎

Lemma 8. *Fix $\alpha_0 \in (0, \pi/2)$. Given $(0, u, v) \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$, if the condition (3.30) is satisfied, then*

$$\Upsilon(0, u, v) := 2 + (\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3)^{2(N-n)} - (\lambda_1^{2(N-n)} + \lambda_2^{2(N-n)} + \lambda_3^{2(N-n)}) \geqslant C_2(\alpha_0, \tau) > 0.$$

Proof. First we note that

$$\Upsilon(0, u, v) \geqslant 2 + \lambda_1^2\lambda_2^2\lambda_3^2 - (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2)$$

as the function $2 + \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 - (\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3)$ is non-increasing with respect to each $\xi_j \in [0, 1]$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, and $\lambda_j^2 \geqslant \lambda_j^{2(N-n)}$ for $N > n \geqslant 1$.

Furthermore, we have

$$\lambda_1^2 = 1 - \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{0,u}), \quad \lambda_2^2 = 1 - \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{0,v}), \quad \lambda_3^2 = 1 - \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{u,v}),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon(0, u, v) &\geqslant \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{0,u}) \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{0,v}) + \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{0,u}) \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{u,v}) \\
&\quad + \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{0,v}) \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{u,v}) - \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{0,u}) \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{0,v}) \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{u,v}) \\
&\geqslant \tfrac{2}{3} \big(\sin^2 \theta_H(L_{0,v}) \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{0,u}) + \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{0,u}) \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{u,v}) \\
&\quad + \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{0,v}) \sin^2 \theta_H(L_{u,v})\big) .
\end{aligned}$$

Consider a triangle $(0, u, v) \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$ such that (3.30) is satisfied. Fix some $\varepsilon \in (0; \alpha_0/3)$. Two cases are possible:

(1) amongst $\theta_H(L_{0,u})$, $\theta_H(L_{0,v})$, $\theta_H(L_{u,v})$, there exists a pair of angles, each being greater than ε and then it is easily seen that $\Upsilon(0, u, v) \geqslant \frac{2}{3} \sin^4 \varepsilon$;
(2) amongst those, there exists no pair of angles, each being greater than ε.

Let us consider the second case in detail (see Figure 5). It is clear that at least two angles amongst $\theta_H(L_{0,u})$, $\theta_H(L_{0,v})$, $\theta_H(L_{u,v})$ are less than ε then. In other words, two sides of the triangle cut the horizontal line at angles less than ε. We call these sides A and B.

Furthermore, let the angle γ between A and B be acute; then obviously it is smaller than 2ε. Then the acute angle between the third side C and the horizontal line is greater than $\alpha_0 - 2\varepsilon$ and the acute angle between A and C is greater than $\alpha_0 - 3\varepsilon$. Consequently, the obtuse angle between A and C is smaller than $\pi - (\alpha_0 - 3\varepsilon)$. Thus, we have for the angle β of the triangle:

$$\alpha_0 - 3\varepsilon < \beta < \pi - (\alpha_0 - 3\varepsilon).$$



FIGURE 5.

Therefore by the law of sines, the inequalities $(2/\pi)\,x \leqslant \sin x \leqslant x$ for $x \in [0, \pi/2]$, and (3.28), we get

$$\frac{1}{\tau^2} \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{length}(C)}{\mathrm{length}(B)} = \frac{\sin\gamma}{\sin\beta} < \frac{\sin 2\varepsilon}{\sin(\alpha_0 - 3\varepsilon)} \leqslant \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{\alpha_0 - 3\varepsilon} \;\Rightarrow\; \varepsilon > \varepsilon_0(\alpha_0, \tau) := \frac{\alpha_0}{3 + \pi\tau^2}.$$

Now let the angle γ between A and B be not acute (it is greater than $\pi - 2\varepsilon$). Then for one of acute angles of the triangle, say β, we have

$$\beta < \varepsilon - (\alpha_0 - 2\varepsilon) = 3\varepsilon - \alpha_0 < 0, \qquad \varepsilon \in (0; \alpha_0/3),$$

which is impossible.

It follows from the aforesaid, that for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0(\alpha_0, \tau)$ there is a contradiction in the second case, thus, $\Upsilon(0, u, v) \geqslant \frac{2}{3}\sin^4\varepsilon_0(\alpha_0, \tau)$. ∎

We will also need the following result.

Lemma 9 (Lemma 2.3 in [2])**.** *Fix $\alpha_0 \in (0, \pi/2)$. Given κ_n of the form* (1.9) *and $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$, if the condition* (3.29) *is satisfied, then*

$$p_{\kappa_n}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \geqslant C_3(\alpha_0, \tau) \cdot c(z_1, z_2, z_3)^2$$

for some $C_3(\alpha_0, \tau) > 0$.

Now we are able to obtain necessary lower estimates of p_{K_t} for t, being the end points of the intervals excluded in (2.1) and (2.2) from the real line. Recall that $\rho_{n,N} = (\frac{N}{n} - 2)\sqrt{N - 2n}$.

Lemma 10. *Fix $\alpha_0 \in (0, \pi/2)$. Given K_t of the form* (1.12) *and $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$,*

(*i*) *if the condition* (3.29) *is satisfied and $t = 2 - \frac{N}{n}$ for $n < N \leqslant 2n$ or $t = \rho_{n,N}$ for $N \geqslant 2n$, or*

(*ii*) *if both the conditions* (3.29) *and* (3.30) *are satisfied and $t = -\frac{1}{2}\left(3 + \sqrt{9 - 4\frac{N}{n}}\right)$ for $n < N \leqslant 2n$ or $t = -\frac{1}{2}\left(3 + \rho_{n,N} + \sqrt{(3 + \rho_{n,N})^2 - 4\frac{N}{n}}\right)$ for $N \geqslant 2n$,*

then

$$p_{K_t}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \geqslant C(\alpha_0, \tau) \cdot c(z_1, z_2, z_3)^2$$

for some $C(\alpha_0, \tau) > 0$.

Proof. It is enough to prove it for triples $(0, u, v)$. Furthermore, the statement for $t = 0$ in (*i*), i.e. when $N = 2n$, is just Lemma 9 and, therefore, we may exclude it. We also recall the notation $\xi_j := \lambda_j^{2(N-n)} \in [0, 1]$, $j = 1, 2, 3$.

Now let $t = 2 - \frac{N}{n}$ and $n < N < 2n$. Then for the function given in (3.13) we have

$$f(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \tfrac{N}{n}\, \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + (2 - \tfrac{N}{n})(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3) \geqslant (2 - \tfrac{N}{n})\tau_1,$$

where τ_1 is as in (3.8). If $t = \rho_{n,N}$ and $N > 2n$, then from (3.25) it follows that

$$g(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \tfrac{N}{n}\, \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + \rho_{n,N}(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3) \geqslant \tfrac{N}{n}\tau_1.$$

Thus, from the inequalities (3.12) for $n < N < 2n$ and (3.24) for $N > 2n$, both being valid for $t \geqslant 0$, and Lemmas 7 and 9 (with the assumption (3.29)) we get

$$p_{K_t}(0, u, v) \geqslant \tfrac{N}{n}\tau_1(0, u, v) \cdot p_{\kappa_n}(0, u, v) \geqslant \tfrac{N}{n}\, C_1(\alpha_0)\, C_3(\alpha_0, \tau) \cdot c(0, u, v)^2,$$

which is the required result in the case (i).

Now consider (ii). Set $t = -\frac{1}{2}\left(3 + \sqrt{9 - 4\frac{N}{n}}\right)$ and $n < N \leqslant 2n$. Then, by (3.15),

$$F(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \tfrac{N}{n}(\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 - 1) + \tfrac{1}{2}(3 - (\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3))(3 + \sqrt{9 - 4\tfrac{N}{n}}).$$

Since $-\frac{1}{2}\left(3 + \sqrt{9 - 4\frac{N}{n}}\right) \leqslant -\frac{N}{n}$,

$$F(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \geqslant \tfrac{N}{n}(2 + \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 - (\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3)) \geqslant \tfrac{N}{n}\Upsilon.$$

The function (3.27) for

$$t = t_0 := -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(3 + \rho_{n,N} + \sqrt{(3 + \rho_{n,N})^2 - 4\tfrac{N}{n}}\right)$$

and $N \geqslant 2n$ after some simplifications takes the form

$$G(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \tfrac{N}{n}(\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 - 1) + (\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 - 3)t_0,$$

and hence $G(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \geqslant \frac{N}{n}\Upsilon$ since $t_0 \leqslant -\frac{N}{n}$ for $N \geqslant 2n$.

Thus, for the last two values of t, by the inequalities (3.14) for $n < N \leqslant 2n$ and (3.26) for $N \geqslant 2n$, both being valid for $t \leqslant -\frac{N}{n}$, and Lemma 9 (with the assumption (3.29)), we get

$$p_{K_t}(0, u, v) \geqslant \tfrac{N}{n}\, \Upsilon(0, u, v) \cdot p_{\kappa_n}(0, u, v) \geqslant \tfrac{N}{n}\, \Upsilon(0, u, v)\, C_3(\alpha_0, \tau) \cdot c(0, u, v)^2.$$

If (3.30) is also satisfied, then by Lemma 8 we obtain the desired inequality

$$p_{K_t}(0, u, v) \geqslant \tfrac{N}{n}\, C_2(\alpha_0, \tau)\, C_3(\alpha_0, \tau) \cdot c(0, u, v)^2,$$

and we are done. ∎

4. Examples

In this section we present triples $(0, u, v)$ such that the permutations $p_{K_t}(0, u, v)$ can change sign for t mentioned in (2.3), namely, $t \in (-N/n; 0)$ for all n and N and $t \in \left(0; \frac{2}{e^{3/2}}\frac{N}{n}\right)$ for $N \gg n$. We use the notations of Lemma 1 below. Note that by this lemma, p_{K_t} can be calculated via p_{κ_m} for m, equal to n and N, and $\varphi_{n,N}$. To obtain p_{κ_m} we substitute (1.9) into (3.1); $\varphi_{n,N}$ is calculated by (3.3).

We start with showing that $p_{K_t}(0, u, v)$ with $u = a + i$ and $v = a - i$, where $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is suitably chosen, is positive for any t. By (3.1), taking into account that $K_t(u) = K_t(v)$ and $K_t(u - v) \equiv 0$,

$$p_{K_t} = \left(\frac{a^{2N-1}}{(1 + a^2)^N} + t \cdot \frac{a^{2n-1}}{(1 + a^2)^n}\right)^2 = \frac{a^{4n-2}}{(1 + a^2)^{2n}}\left(\frac{a^{2(N-n)}}{(1 + a^2)^{N-n}} + t\right)^2,$$

which is positive for any real t if a is chosen so that the expression in the latter brackets does not vanish.

Now the aim is to show that for any fixed t from (2.3) there exist triples $(0,u,v)$ such that $p_{K_t}(0,u,v)$ is negative. To do so, we find families of $(0,u,v)$ such that the quadratic polynomial

$$\frac{p_{K_t}}{p_{\kappa_n}} = \frac{p_{\kappa_N}}{p_{\kappa_n}} + \frac{\varphi_{n,N}}{p_{\kappa_n}}t + t^2, \qquad p_{\kappa_n} > 0,$$

(with respect to t) has two different roots t_1 and t_2, depending on u and v, and thus p_{K_t} (of the form (3.2)) is negative for $t \in (t_1; t_2)$. Moreover, we show that the union of the intervals $(t_1; t_2)$ when $(0,u,v)$ runs the whole above-mentioned family is either the interval $(-N/n; 0)$ or $\left(0; \frac{2}{e^{3/2}}\frac{N}{n}\right)$, indicated in (2.3).

Let us first consider $t < 0$.

Example 1. Set $u = -a + i$, $v = a + i$, where $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$p_{\kappa_m} = -\frac{a^{2(2m-1)}}{(a^2+1)^{2m}} + \frac{a^{2m-2}}{2(a^2+1)^m} + \frac{a^{2m-2}}{2(a^2+1)^m} = \frac{a^{2m-2}\left((a^2+1)^m - a^{2m}\right)}{(a^2+1)^{2m}},$$

where m equals n or N, and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{n,N} =& -\frac{a^{2N-1}}{(a^2+1)^N}\left(\frac{a^{2n-1}}{(a^2+1)^n} - \frac{1}{2a}\right)\\ &+ \frac{a^{2N-1}}{(a^2+1)^N}\left(-\frac{a^{2n-1}}{(a^2+1)^n} + \frac{1}{2a}\right) - \frac{1}{2a}\left(-\frac{a^{2n-1}}{(a^2+1)^n} - \frac{a^{2n-1}}{(a^2+1)^n}\right)\\ =& \frac{a^{2n-2}\left((a^2+1)^N + (a^2+1)^n a^{2(N-n)} - 2a^{2N}\right)}{(a^2+1)^{N+n}}.\end{aligned}$$

From this by (3.2) we deduce that

$$\frac{p_{K_t}}{p_{\kappa_n}} = \frac{d_1(a)d_2(a)}{d_3(a)^2} + \frac{d_1(a)+d_2(a)}{d_3(a)}t + t^2, \qquad a \neq 0, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$d_1(a) := (a^2+1)^n\left(1 - \frac{a^{2N}}{(a^2+1)^N}\right), \;\; d_2(a) := (a^2+1)^n\left(\frac{a^{2(N-n)}}{(a^2+1)^{N-n}} - \frac{a^{2N}}{(a^2+1)^N}\right),$$

and $d_3(a) := (a^2+1)^n - a^{2n}$. The polynomial (4.1) has two different negative roots $t_1(a) = -d_1(a)/d_3(a)$ and $t_2(a) = -d_2(a)/d_3(a)$, where $d_1(a) > d_2(a) > 0$ and $d_3(a) > 0$. It is easy to check (look at the cases $a \to 0$ and $a \to \infty$) that the roots $t_1(a)$ and $t_2(a)$ run the intervals $(-N/n; -1)$ and $(-1; 0)$, correspondingly, when a runs $(0; \infty)$. Moreover, by continuity,

$$\bigcup_{a\in(0;\,\infty)} (t_1(a); t_2(a)) = (-N/n; 0).$$

This means that p_{K_t} is negative for any t in $(-N/n; 0)$ for a suitably chosen a.

As we have already mentioned above, this example shows that (2.2) is sharp for $N = 2n$ in the sense of Remark 1. In addition, the left hand side of (2.2) is also sharp for $N = 2n+1$.

Now we give an example for $t > 0$.

Example 2. Let n be fixed. Consider triples $(0,u,v)$ such that

$$u=-r(1+\delta_N(q)i),\qquad v=r(1-\tfrac{1}{r}+\delta_N(q)i),\qquad \delta_N(q):=\sqrt{\frac{\ln q}{N-n}}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $r>0$ and $q\geqslant e$. For such $(0,u,v)$, we can also calculate p_{κ_m} and $\varphi_{n,N}$ using (1.9), (3.1) and (3.3), but the expression of p_{K_t}/p_{κ_n} obtained is too big and therefore we do not place it here. Instead, we give the following identity (the permutations are calculated for $(0,u,v)$ as in (4.2)):

$$P(t):=\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{p_{K_t}}{p_{\kappa_n}}=c_N(q)+b_N(q)t+t^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}c_N(q)&=\frac{N}{n\,(1+\delta_N^2(q))^{2(N-n)}}\cdot\frac{(2N-1)\delta_N^2(q)+1}{(2n-1)\delta_N^2(q)+1},\\ b_N(q)&=-\frac{(2(N-n)^2+N-4nN+n)\,\delta_N^2(q)-(n+N)}{n\,(1+\delta_N^2(q))^{N-n}((2n-1)\delta_N^2(q)+1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$c_N(q)\sim\frac{(2\ln q+1)N}{q^2n},\qquad b_N(q)\sim-\frac{(2\ln q-1)N}{qn},\qquad N\to\infty.$$

The quadratic polynomial P (with respect to t) has two different positive roots $t_1(N,q)$ and $t_2(N,q)$ if N is large enough (as the discriminant is positive). Moreover, it is easy to check that

$$t_1(N,q)\sim\tilde{t}_1(q):=\frac{2\ln q+1}{q\,(2\ln q-1)},\quad t_2(N,q)\sim\tilde{t}_2(N,q):=\frac{(2\ln q-1)N}{qn},\quad N\to\infty.$$

Taking into account the properties

$$\tilde{t}_1(q)\to 0\text{ as }q\to\infty,\qquad \max_{q\in[e;\,\infty)}\frac{2\ln q-1}{q}=\frac{2}{e^{3/2}},$$

we deduce by continuity that

$$\bigcup_{q\in[e;\,\infty)}(\tilde{t}_1(q);\tilde{t}_2(q))=\left(0;\frac{2}{e^{3/2}}\frac{N}{n}\right).$$

It follows from the aforesaid that $p_{K_t}(0,u,v)$ with u,v as in (4.2) are negative for any t in $\left(0;\frac{2}{e^{3/2}}\frac{N}{n}\right)$, if N (with respect to n) and r are large enough and q is suitably chosen.

5. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

Recall that Lemmas 5 and 6 state that given K_t of the form (1.12), if

$$\begin{aligned}t\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\left[-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(3+\sqrt{9-4\tfrac{N}{n}}\right);2-\tfrac{N}{n}\right],&\qquad n<N\leqslant 2n,\\ t\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\left[-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(3+\rho_{n,N}+\sqrt{(3+\rho_{n,N})^2-4\tfrac{N}{n}}\right);\rho_{n,N}\right],&\qquad N\geqslant 2n,\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_{n,N}=\left(\frac{N}{n}-2\right)\sqrt{N-2n}$, then

$$p_{K_t}\geqslant C(t)\cdot p_{\kappa_n},\qquad C(t)>0.$$

These lemmas immediately give Theorem 1 by continuity taking into account the fact that $p_{\kappa_n}(z_1,z_2,z_2)\geqslant 0$ for all $(z_1,z_2,z_3)\in\mathbb{C}^3$ (see (1.2) and (1.10)).

What is said from now on is related to Theorem 2.

First of all we note that the latter statement of Theorem 2, i.e. the one asserting that if the operator T_{K_t} is $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$-bounded, then E is rectifiable, is a corollary of the fact that the $L^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$-boundedness of T_{K_t} implies the finiteness of $p_{K_t}(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$. This can be proved by generalization of the Melnikov-Verdera identity (1.5) for the kernels K_t and permutations p_{K_t}; see Lemma 3.3 in [2] and also Lemma 2.1 in [14].

Now we come to the proof of the former statement in Theorem 2.

The proof for t, mentioned in Lemmas 5 and 6 (see the beginning of the current section), is direct via Theorem B, which states that the finiteness of $p_{\kappa_n}(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$ implies the rectifiability of E. Indeed, if $p_{K_t}(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E) < \infty$ for such t, then $p_{\kappa_n}(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E) < \infty$ by the inequality $p_{K_t} \geqslant C(t)\cdot p_{\kappa_n}$, $C(t) > 0$, and thus the set E is rectifiable.

What is left is to prove the former statement in Theorem 2 for

$$t = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(3 + \sqrt{9 - 4\tfrac{N}{n}}\right), \qquad t = 2 - \tfrac{N}{n}, \qquad\qquad n < N \leqslant 2n,$$

$$t = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(3 + \rho_{n,N} + \sqrt{(3+\rho_{n,N})^2 - 4\tfrac{N}{n}}\right), \qquad t = \rho_{n,N}, \qquad N \geqslant 2n.$$

It requires some additional work and therefore for reader's convenience we first make several observations, which could help to clarify the forthcoming proof.

We start with a very brief exposition of the proof of Theorem A from [10] (recall that it states that given a Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$, if $c^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E) < \infty$, then E is rectifiable). We emphasize again that the non-negativity of the curvature of measure is essential in the proof.

The first step is to show that there exists a compact subset F of the given set E such that, among other things, $c^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor F)$ is well-controlled and can be made very small (this is done in [10] by a quite standard uniformization procedure). Then the second and most important step follows — to prove that if μ is a positive Radon measure on $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying few special conditions (see Proposition 2 below), then there exists a Lipschitz graph Γ such that $\mu(\Gamma) \geqslant C\cdot\mu(\mathbb{C})$, where C is an absolute constant.

The problem is to choose an adequate coordinate system of $\mathbb{C}$ and construct a Lipschitz function A whose graph will be the one needed. For this purpose, the author of [10] first defines some functions used to measure how well the $\operatorname{spt}\mu$ is approximated by straight lines at a given location and a given scale. It is shown that these functions are related to the $c^2(\mu)$ in the case when the measure μ does not degenerate too much. These preliminary results are used for constructing the function A by a stopping time argument, which demands fine adjustments to many parameters and thresholds. Starting with choosing a point $x_0 \in \operatorname{spt}\mu$ and fixing an approximating line D_0 (which will be the domain of the function A) such that the mean distance from $\operatorname{spt}\mu$ to the line D_0 is suitably small, the author of [10] comes to cutting $\operatorname{spt}\mu$ in four disjoint pieces Z, F_1, F_2 and F_3 such that

$$\operatorname{spt}\mu = Z \cup F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3.$$

It is shown that Z is very nice for constructing the graph but three others admit "bad events". Then the goal is to prove that these bad pieces carry only a small part of the measure μ, namely, $\mu(F_j) \leqslant 10^{-6}\mu(\mathbb{C})$ for each j and thus $\mu(Z) \geqslant \frac{99}{100}\mu(\mathbb{C})$. This allows to construct the required Lipschitz function $A : D_0 \to D_0^{\perp}$ such that the set Z is contained in the graph of A.

Coming back to the initial settings, if $\mu = \mathcal{H}^1\lfloor F$, where F is the above-mentioned subset of E, than there exists a Lipschitz graph Γ such that $\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma\cap F) \geqslant C\cdot\mathcal{H}^1(F)$.

This fact is used in the last step of the proof from [10], which is as follows. Since $\mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$ by the assumptions, the set E has a decomposition into a rectifiable and purely unrectifiable part, i.e. $E = E_{\rm rect} + E_{\rm unrect}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}^1(E_{\rm unrect}) > 0$. Then there exists a compact set $F \subset E_{\rm unrect}$ and Lipschitz graph Γ such that $\mathcal{H}^1(\Gamma \cap F) \geqslant C \cdot \mathcal{H}^1(F)$ that contradicts the fact that F is purely unrectifiable.

Let us now say a few words about the proof of Theorem B from [2]. Recall that this theorem is an analogue of Theorem A, where the kernel $1/z$ and curvature squared $c^2(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E)$ are replaced by the kernels $\kappa_n(z) = (\operatorname{Re} z)^{2n-1}/|z|^{2n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and corresponding permutations p_{κ_n} (see (1.9)–(1.11)). We will use the definitions given near the formula (3.28) and in the discussion of Theorem A above. First we mention that it is proved in [2] that the permutations $p_{\kappa_n}(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ behave similarly to $c^2(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ on all triangles with comparable sides, whose one side makes a big angle with the vertical line. More precisely (see Lemma 9 above), it is shown there that for a fixed $\alpha_0 \in (0, \pi/2)$ and given $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$, if the condition (3.29), i.e.

$$\theta_V(L_{z_1,z_2}) + \theta_V(L_{z_2,z_3}) + \theta_V(L_{z_1,z_3}) \geqslant \alpha_0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\theta_H(L_{z_1,z_2}) + \theta_H(L_{z_2,z_3}) + \theta_H(L_{z_1,z_3}) \leqslant \tfrac{3}{2}\pi - \alpha_0,$$

is satisfied, then

$$p_{\kappa_n}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \geqslant C(\alpha_0, \tau) \cdot c(z_1, z_2, z_3)^2, \qquad C(\alpha_0, \tau) > 0.$$

This enables the authors of [2] to use the above-described scheme from [10] for constructing the required Lipschitz graph Γ in the case when the first approximating line D_0 for $\operatorname{spt}\mu$ is far from the vertical line. Note that exchanging the curvature for the permutations p_{κ_n} still requires new arguments in several key points of the proof. Otherwise, when D_0 is close to the vertical line and the scheme from [10] does not work (as $\mu(F_3)$ may be too big), they tune thresholds and apply some coverings in order to use the result for D_0, being far from the vertical line, for constructing countably many Lipschitz graphs, which give Γ after appropriate joining.

We are now at the position to finish the proof of our Theorem 2. This will be an adaptation of the arguments from [2].

On the one hand, by the clause (i) of Lemma 10, for a fixed $\alpha_0 \in (0, \pi/2)$ and given $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathcal{O}_\tau$, if the condition (3.29), i.e. the same as in the result for $t = 0$ from [2] mentioned above, is satisfied and $t = 2 - \frac{N}{n}$ for $n < N \leqslant 2n$ or $t = \rho_{n,N}$ for $N \geqslant 2n$, then we also have

$$p_{K_t}(z_1, z_2, z_3) \geqslant C(\alpha_0, \tau) \cdot c(z_1, z_2, z_3)^2, \qquad C(\alpha_0, \tau) > 0. \tag{5.1}$$

It means that we can undeviatingly follow the scheme from [2] (exchanging p_{κ_n} for p_{K_t}) in order to get our result for $t = 2 - \frac{N}{n}$, $n < N \leqslant 2n$, and $t = \rho_{n,N}$, $N \geqslant 2n$.

On the other hand, by the clause (ii) of Lemma 10, the inequality (5.1) is true for

$$\begin{aligned} &t = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(3 + \sqrt{9 - 4\tfrac{N}{n}}\right), && n < N \leqslant 2n, \\ &t = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(3 + \rho_{n,N} + \sqrt{(3+\rho_{n,N})^2 - 4\tfrac{N}{n}}\right), && N \geqslant 2n, \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

only if both the conditions (3.29) and (3.30) are satisfied, i.e.

$$\alpha_0 \leqslant \theta_V(L_{z_1,z_2}) + \theta_V(L_{z_2,z_3}) + \theta_V(L_{z_1,z_3}) \leqslant \tfrac{3}{2}\pi - \alpha_0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\alpha_0 \leqslant \theta_H(L_{z_1,z_2}) + \theta_H(L_{z_2,z_3}) + \theta_H(L_{z_1,z_3}) \leqslant \tfrac{3}{2}\pi - \alpha_0,$$

and thus the triangles (z_1, z_2, z_3) are far from both the vertical and horizontal line.

Consequently, the scheme from [2] cannot be applied directly for t from (5.2). However, as we will see, it works after few modifications (besides the exchange of p_{κ_n} for p_{K_t}) connected basically with adapting geometrical arguments to both the conditions (3.29) and (3.30). Since the cases where we are close to either the vertical or horizontal line are well-separated and similar geometrically, the arguments for the first approximating line D_0, being close (far) to (from) the vertical line, can be easily transferred into the ones for D_0, being close (far) to (from) the horizontal line.

We now reproduce main steps of the proof, stemming from [2], with necessary changes when our permutations and the conditions (3.29) and (3.30) are involved. Several statements are formulated without proofs because they are the same as in [2] (or [10]) modulus the notation of permutations.

Below, we consider only t from (5.2). Theorem 2 for them results from the following two propositions by the same contradiction as in the proof from [10] (this part was reproduced above). Note that one has to take $\mu = 40\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor F$ in Proposition 2, where the set F is from Proposition 1 (it may be suitably rescaled if necessary).

Proposition 1 (An analogue of Lemma 3.4 in [2] and Proposition 1.1 in [10])**.** *Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a Borel set with $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(E) < \infty$ and $p_{K_t}(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor E) < \infty$. Then for all $\eta > 0$ there exists a set $F \subset E$ such that*

- *F is compact,*
- *$p_{K_t}(\mathcal{H}^1\lfloor F) \leqslant \eta \operatorname{diam} F$,*
- *$\mathcal{H}^1(F) > \frac{1}{40} \operatorname{diam} F$,*
- *for all $z \in F$, for all $r > 0$, $\mathcal{H}^1(F \cap B(z, r)) \leqslant 3r$.*

Proposition 2. *For any constant $C_0 \geqslant 10$, there exists a number $\eta > 0$ such that if μ is any positive Radon measure on $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying*

- *$\mu(B(0,1)) \geqslant 1$, $\mu(\mathbb{C} \setminus B(0,2)) = 0$,*
- *for any ball B, $\mu(B) \leqslant C_0 \operatorname{diam} B$,*
- *$p_{K_t}(\mu) \leqslant \eta$,*

then there exists a Lipschitz graph Γ such that $\mu(\Gamma) \geqslant 10^{-5}\mu(\mathbb{C})$.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Proposition 2, which is an analogue of Proposition 3.1 from [2]. First, we give several definitions (we confine ourselves to those which will be needed below; see [2,10] for further ones). Let μ be a positive Radon measure in $\mathbb{C}$ and

$$p_{K_t,\tau}(\mu) = \iiint_{\mathcal{O}_\tau} p_{K_t}(z_1, z_2, z_3) d\mu(z_1) d\mu(z_2) d\mu(z_3),$$

see (1.11) and (3.28). For a ball $B = B(x, r)$ set

$$\delta_\mu(x, r) = \frac{\mu(B(x, r))}{r}.$$

For this value we will use a small density threshold $\delta > 0$ below.

Given a fixed constant $k > 1$, for any ball $B = B(x, r) \subset \mathbb{C}$ and D a line in $\mathbb{C}$, set

$$\beta_{1,\mu}^D(x, r) = \frac{1}{r} \int_{B(x,kr)} \frac{\operatorname{dist}(y, D)}{r}\, d\mu(y),$$

$$\beta_{2,\mu}^D(x, r) = \left(\frac{1}{r} \int_{B(x,kr)} \left(\frac{\operatorname{dist}(y, D)}{r} \right)^2 d\mu(y) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Geometrical notations connected with lines and angles are given near the formula (3.28) at the end of Section 3.

Lemma 11. *Let μ be a measure with linear growth (with a constant C_0), and $B(x, r) \subset \mathbb{C}$ a ball with $\delta_\mu(x, r) \geqslant \delta$. Suppose that τ is big enough. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\delta, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{p_{K_t,\tau}(\mu\lfloor kB)}{\mu(B)} \leqslant \delta_1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \inf_D \beta_{2,\mu}^D(x, r) \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as for Lemma 4.4 in [2]. We just have to use our Lemma 10 instead of Lemma 2.3 there for the case when both the conditions (3.30) and (3.29) are satisfied, and say that in the case

$$\theta_H(L_{z_1,z_2}) + \theta_H(L_{z_1,w}) + \theta_H(L_{z_2,w}) \leqslant \alpha_0$$

we get the same estimate for $\operatorname{dist}(w, L_{z_1,z_2})$ as in the case

$$\theta_V(L_{z_1,z_2}) + \theta_V(L_{z_1,w}) + \theta_V(L_{z_2,w}) \leqslant \alpha_0.$$

■

By Lemma 11, chosen a point $x_0 \in \operatorname{spt}\mu$, there exists an approximating line D_0 such that $\beta_{1,\mu}^{D_0}(x_0, 1) \leqslant \varepsilon$. The next step is to construct a first Lipschitz graph in the case when D_0 is far from both the horizontal and vertical line.

To do so, one first has to introduce a family of stopping time regions and obtain the partition $\operatorname{spt}\mu = Z \cup F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$ (see the exposition of the proof from [10] above). As this entirely repeats the corresponding part of [2, Section 5] (cf. [10, Subsection 3.1]), we omit it. We just have to mention that the thresholds θ_0 and α, arising there, have to be adapted to that D_0 is far from both the horizontal and vertical line. Namely, θ_0 is now a threshold for both $\theta_V(D_0)$ and $\theta_H(D_0)$. It means that one has to distinguish not only the cases $\theta_V(D_0) \geqslant \theta_0$ and $\theta_V(D_0) < \theta_0$ but also $\theta_H(D_0) \geqslant \theta_0$ and $\theta_H(D_0) < \theta_0$. Moreover, α is tuned as follows: if $\theta_V(D_0)$ or $\theta_H(D_0)$ are greater than θ_0, then $\alpha \leqslant \theta_0/10$; if $\theta_V(D_0)$ or $\theta_H(D_0)$ are not greater than θ_0, then $\alpha = 10\theta_0$.

Furthermore, see [2, 10] for the way how one can define the Lipschitz function A on the line D_0, using Z, F_1, F_2, F_3, and appropriate thresholds.

Now we come to the main step of the proof of Proposition 2. The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma 6.1 from [2].

Lemma 12. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2, if furthermore*

$$\theta_0 < \theta_V(D_0) < \tfrac{3\pi}{2} - \theta_0,$$

then there exists a Lipschitz graph Γ such that $\mu(\Gamma) \geqslant \frac{99}{100}\mu(\mathbb{C})$.

For the proof one uses the above-mentioned function A to obtain the graph Γ, $Z \subset \Gamma$, and show that

$$\mu(F_1) + \mu(F_2) + \mu(F_3) \leqslant \tfrac{1}{100}\mu(\mathbb{C}).$$

Indeed, the following lemmas are valid (recall that $\mu(\mathbb{C}) \geqslant 1$ by the assumptions).

Lemma 13. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2,*

$$\mu(F_1) \leqslant 10^{-6}.$$

Proof. This is an analogue of [2, Proposition 6.3], whose proof includes consideration of the two cases: 1) $\theta_V(D_0) > \theta_0$ and 2) $\theta_V(D_0) \leqslant \theta_0$ (see the proof of [2, Lemma 6.4]).

Under our settings, we have to consider three cases. Namely, the case 1) has to be exchanged for $\theta_0 < \theta_V(D_0) < \frac{3\pi}{2} - \theta_0$, although the proof remains the same. The case 2) splits up into the two: $\theta_V(D_0) \leqslant \theta_0$ and $\theta_V(D_0) \geqslant \frac{3\pi}{2} - \theta_0$ (i.e. $\theta_H(D_0) \leqslant \theta_0$). Arguments in the latter case are the same as in the former one. ∎

Lemma 14 (An analogue of Proposition 6.2 in [2])**.** *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2,*

$$\mu(F_2) \leqslant 10^{-6}.$$

Lemma 15. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 12,*

$$\mu(F_3) \leqslant 10^{-6}.$$

Proof. The proof stems from the one of [2, Proposition 6.5], but with exchange of $\theta_V(D_0) > \theta_0$ for $\theta_0 < \theta_V(D_0) < \frac{3\pi}{2} - \theta_0$ as in Lemma 12. ∎

Thus, Proposition 2 is proved under the assumptions of Lemma 12. What is left is to consider the other case. The following statement satisfies the question.

Lemma 16. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2, if furthermore*

$$\theta_V(D_0) \leqslant \theta_0 \qquad or \qquad \theta_V(D_0) \geqslant \tfrac{3\pi}{2} - \theta_0 \quad (i.e. \quad \theta_H(D_0) \leqslant \theta_0),$$

then there exists a Lipschitz graph Γ such that $\mu(\Gamma) \geqslant 10^{-5}\mu(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. To prove this, we repeat arguments from the proof of [2, Lemma 7.1], given for $\theta_V(D_0) \leqslant \theta_0$, for the case $\theta_H(D_0) \leqslant \theta_0$. ∎

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Joan Mateu and Xavier Tolsa for suggesting the problem and for many stimulating conversations.

References

[1] A. P. Calderón, Cauchy integrals on Lipschitz curves and related operators, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 74 (1977) 1324–1327.
[2] V. Chousionis, J. Mateu, L. Prat and X. Tolsa, Calderón-Zygmund kernels and rectifiability in the plane, Adv. Math. 231 (1) (2012) 535-568.
[3] M. Christ, Lectures on Singular Integral Operators, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 77, Amer. Math. Soc., 1990.
[4] R. Coifman, A. McIntosh, Y. Meyer, L'intégrale de Cauchy définit un opérateur borné sur L^2 pour les courbes lipschitziennes, Ann. of Math. (2) 116 (2) (1982) 361–387.
[5] G. David, Opérateurs intégraux singuliers sur certaines courbes du plan complexe, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 17 (1) (1984) 157–189.
[6] G. David, Unrectifiable 1-sets have vanishing analytic capacity, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 14 (2) (1998) 369–479.
[7] G. David and S. Semmes, Analusis of and on Uniformly Rectifiable Sets, Surveys and Monographs 38, Amer. Math. Soc., 1993.

[8] B. Jaye and F. Nazarov, Three revolutions in the kernel are worse than one, arXiv:1307.3678 (2013).
[9] P. Huovinen, A nicely behaved singular integral on a purely unrectifiable set, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (11) (2001) 3345-3351.
[10] J. C. Léger, Menger curvature and rectifiability, Ann. of Math. 149 (1999) 831–869.
[11] P. Mattila, Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
[12] P. Mattila, Singular integrals, analytic capacity and rectifiability, in: Proceedings of the conference dedicated to Professor Miguel de Guzmán (El Escorial, 1996), J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 3 (1997), Special Issue, 797–812.
[13] P. Mattila, Singular integrals and rectifiability, in: Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Harmonic Analysis and Partial Differential Equations (El Escorial, 2000), Publ. Mat. Vol. Extra (2002), 199–208.
[14] P. Mattila, M. Melnikov and J. Verdera, The Cauchy integral, analytic capacity, and uniform rectifiability, Ann. of Math. (2) 144 (1) (1996) 127–136.
[15] M. Melnikov, Analytic capacity: a discrete approach and the curvature of measure, Mat. Sb. 186 (6) (1995) 57–76 (in Russian); Translation in Sb. Math. 186 (6) (1995) 827–846.
[16] M. Melnikov and J. Verdera, A geometric proof of the L^2 boundedness of the Cauchy integral on Lipschitz graphs, Int. Math. Res. Not. (7) (1995) 325–331.
[17] A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov and O. I. Marichev, Integrals and Series. Vol. 1. Elementary functions, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1986.
[18] X. Tolsa, Painlevé's problem and the semiadditivity of analytic capacity, Acta Math. 190 (1) (2003) 105–149.
[19] X. Tolsa, Analytic capacity, the Cauchy transform, and non-homogeneous Calderón-Zygmund theory. Progress in Mathematics 307. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2014.

Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Edifici C 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona) Spain

E-mail address: chunayev@mail.ru, chunaev@mat.uab.cat